

Other proposed changes

1. The JS reviews the applications and the first-level evaluations and, where necessary, it proceeds to revising the application score, and/or the physical content, and/or the financial content. All such changes must be based on a well-documented rationale. (Page 38)
2. All applicants are informed of the evaluation outcome – whether positive or negative – via email.(page 39)
3. Applicants are notified via personal e-mail, so that they receive timely information on the results of the examination of the complaint. (page 41)
4. Please note that if a Member of the Complaint Panel has a conflict of Interest by its participation in one of the other evaluation bodies (JS, JAT, MC) then he/she is replaced accordingly by a member of the Managing Authority (Page 41)
5. Numbering in the Evaluation Criteria (Page 91)