

**1<sup>st</sup> CALL FOR PROPOSALS**

**Project Evaluation Sheet**

<i>Project Acronym:</i>	
<i>Project title :</i>	
<i>Lead partner :</i>	
<i>Register no.</i>	
<i>Priority axis:</i>	

## Evaluation Criteria for the 1<sup>st</sup> Call for Proposals: Restricted Call for Strategic Project Proposal under Priority Axis 3

### CRITERIA FOR ADMINISTRATIVE AND TECHNICAL COMPLIANCE

1. Administrative compliance (YES/NO)		Comments
a) The Project Proposal was submitted within the deadline set	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	
b) The Project Proposal was submitted in the required formats: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• hardcopy of the Application Form with the requested documents attached in 1 original</li> <li>• CD/DVD including:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ the Application Form in the excel format required,</li> <li>○ Annex 1 “Strategic Scope of the Project and Sustainability” (specific instructions are included in the Application manual)</li> <li>○ the justification of the budget document in the excel format required</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>  YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	
c) The Application Form used has the official form specified by the Managing Authority and is properly filled in, in English, stamped and signed by the Lead Beneficiary	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	
d) The requested documents are properly filled in, in English, signed and stamped and are attached to the Application Form: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Annex 1 “Strategic Scope of the Project and Sustainability” - signed and stamped by the Legal Representative of the Lead Beneficiary</li> </ul>	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	

<p>b. the Partnership Declaration signed and stamped by all beneficiaries (including also the statement for providing pre-financing and co-financing if needed and non-double financing statement)</p> <p>c. State Aid declaration</p> <p>d. Declarations of not generating revenues or, in case of revenue generating projects, - a cost-benefit analysis, signed and stamped by the beneficiary concerned</p> <p>e. Decision of the designated body of each beneficiary (e.g. Municipal Council, Board of Directors, etc.) stating its agreement for submitting the particular project proposal, according to the internal rules/statute of each beneficiary or the national legislation</p> <p>f. the Justification of Budget Costs presented in the requested format</p> <p>g. Beneficiaries' related documents for bodies governed by public law</p> <p>h. Documentation for Infrastructure Projects</p>	<p>YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><i>N/A= Not Applicable</i></p>	
<p><b>2. Eligibility criteria (YES/ NO)</b></p>		<p><b>Comments</b></p>
<p>a) The project proposal includes the Beneficiaries pre-defined in the Programme under PA 3</p>	<p>YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p>	
<p>b) The project activities correspond to the pre-defined ones under PA 3 in the programme</p>	<p>YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p>	

<p>c) The project beneficiaries cooperate<sup>1</sup> in:</p> <p>Development of the operation (mandatory) YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Implementation of the operation (mandatory) YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Staffing of the operation YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> or/and Financing of the operation YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p>	
<p>e) The project budget and costs are in line with the limits set in the Call for proposals</p>	<p>YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p>	
<p>f) The duration of the project is in line with the time frame set out in the Call for proposals</p>	<p>YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p>	
<p>a) Does the project comply with Article 65, par.6 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 stating: “Operations shall not be selected for support by the ESI Funds where they have been physically completed or fully implemented before the application for funding under the Programme is submitted by the beneficiary to the managing authority, irrespective of whether all related payments have been made by the beneficiary.”</p>	<p>YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p>	

---

<sup>1</sup> According to Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 on specific provisions for the support from the European Regional Development Fund to the European territorial cooperation goal, Article 12, paragraph 4: “Beneficiaries shall cooperate in the development and implementation of operations. In addition, they shall cooperate in the staffing or the financing of operations, or in both.”

Assessor 1	Name	
	Signature	
Assessor 2	Name	
	Signature	

**2<sup>nd</sup> PHASE – QUALITY ASSESSMENT**

<b>PROJECT QUALITY (Content related criteria)</b>					
<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Sub-criteria</b>	<b>Analysis</b>	<b>Reference to the AF</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>Comments</b>
<b>General Relevance of the proposal</b>	a) Is the project consistent with two or more horizontal principles of the Programme : - sustainable development (mandatory), - equal opportunities and nondiscrimination (mandatory) - equality between men and women)?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	B.8.1		
	b) Does the proposal demonstrate its ability to promote synergies with EU/regional/national strategies and synergies to other Programmes?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	Annex 1, B.8.2		
	c) Does the project contribute to the achievement of the specific objective of the investment priority 7b Enhancing regional mobility by connecting secondary and tertiary nodes to TEN-T infrastructure, including multimodal nodes	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	Annex 1, B.1.2		
<b>Specific Relevance of the proposal</b>	a) The project completes the secondary TEN-T road network in the cross-border area	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	B.8.2, Annex 1		
	b) The project is a joint intervention providing identical level of service on both sides of the border	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	B.2.1 and B.7.1		
	c) The project includes new infrastructure investments (mandatory). The project includes construction of missing links to existing infrastructure	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	B.2.1		
	d) The project is compliant with Regulation 2013/1315/EC of	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	B.8.2 and		

	the EU Parliament and of the Council on Union guidelines for the development of the Trans-European transport network		B.9.1		
<b>Quality of results – efficiency and effectiveness</b>	a) Are the results specific, measurable, achievable, realistic and time-bound?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	B.1.4		
	b) Do the project results and main outputs clearly link to Programme priority and its indicators?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	B.1.4, B.1.3, Section F		
	c) Do the project results provide added value to the Programme area?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	Annex 1, B.1.1, B.7.1		
<b>Impact and Sustain-ability</b>	a) Is the proposal likely to have impact on growth and development in the cross border region?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	Annex1, B.2.3, B.1.4		
	b) Does the proposal produce significant and long-term changes and/or improvements?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	Annex1, B.6, B4		
	c) Is the financial sustainability of the project clearly demonstrated?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	Annex1, B.6		
	d) Will there be joint “ownership” of the results of the project with permanent cooperation opportunities between the partners?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	Annex1, B.6		
<b>Cross Border Co-operation</b>	a) Does the project address common cross border challenges and opportunities in the Programme area?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	B.1.1, B.7.1, B.7.2		

<b>and Capitalization</b>	<p>b) In which way does the project capitalize previous cooperation and experience, especially in the Programme area?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Capitalization of partners' know-how experience</li> <li>- Capitalization of partners' experience in Cross border Cooperation activities</li> <li>- Capitalization of previous cooperation among current beneficiaries</li> <li>- Capitalization of previous relevant projects</li> </ul>	<p>YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>B.7.3</p>		
-------------------------------	--	---	--------------	--	--



Implementation-related criteria					
Criteria	Sub-criteria	Analysis	Reference to the AF	Score	Comments
<i>Quality of the partnership (appropriate synthesis and organizational arrangements)</i>	a) Does the Lead Beneficiary demonstrate the capacity to coordinate, manage, control and monitor the overall implementation of the project?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	B.3		
	b) Is the administrative capacity of the beneficiaries sufficient to implement successfully the project activities undertaken?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	B.3		
	c) Are the specific roles (actions and responsibilities) clearly and appropriately distributed among the Lead Beneficiary and the other beneficiaries?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	B.2.1		
<i>Quality of the methodological approach</i>	a) Is the relation between the activities-outputs-results-objectives ensured?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	B.1, B.2		
	b) Does the project contain objectively realistic and relevant indicators measuring the expected results?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	B.1.4, Section F		
	c) Is the project mature in terms of completion of administrative procedures	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	B.5, Documentation		

Implementation-related criteria					
Criteria	Sub-criteria	Analysis	Reference to the AF	Score	Comments
	that allow the implementation of the activities (licenses, designs, permits, land acquisition etc.)?		for Infrastructure Projects		
	d) Is the methodology for management of the project clearly defined and efficient? In particular, is the proposed approach for internal project monitoring & assessment satisfactory?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	B.2.1, B.3.2		
	e) Are the Information and Publicity measures for external communication of project's outputs and results appropriate and efficient?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	B.4		
<i>Budget and finance</i>	a) Is the proposed budget reasonable, realistic and justified?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	B.1.4, Section D, Justification of the Budget Document		
	b) Is the budget logically planned and distributed among the partners and the activities?	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	B.2.1, B.2.2, Section D, Justification of		

**Implementation-related criteria**

Criteria	Sub-criteria	Analysis	Reference to the AF	Score	Comments
			Budget Document		

Evaluation Summary	Overview graph
<p>Project Content Quality:</p> <p>Project Implementation Quality:</p>	

Assessor 1	Name	
	Signature	
Assessor 2	Name	
	Signature	
JS Coordinator	Name	

	Signature	
--	-----------	--

# COMPATIBILITY CHECK SHEET OF THE PROJECT WITH THE STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF THE OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME

OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME	INTERREG V-A Greece–Bulgaria 2014-2020 Cooperation Programme		
PRIORITY AXIS			
INVESTMENT PRIORITY			
CALL CODE			
FINAL BENEFICIARY			
PROJECT TITLE			
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES (The Directive 2001/42/EK determines the environmental issues for which the environmental consequences have to be appraised)</b>	<b>EXPECTED CONSEQUENCES ACCORDING TO THE S.E.A. OF THE OP GREECE-BULGARIA for the specific Priority Axis<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES OF THE PROPOSED ACT</b>	<b>COMMENTS</b>
Biodiversity, Fauna and Flora	- to 0	0	
Human health and population	- to +	0	
Soil	- to 0	0	

<sup>2</sup> Type of effects: Positive (+), Neutral (0) or Negative (-)

COMPATIBILITY CHECK SHEET OF THE PROJECT WITH THE STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF THE OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME			
OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME	INTERREG V-A Greece–Bulgaria 2014-2020 Cooperation Programme		
PRIORITY AXIS			
INVESTMENT PRIORITY			
CALL CODE			
FINAL BENEFICIARY			
PROJECT TITLE			
ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES (The Directive 2001/42/EK determines the environmental issues for which the environmental consequences have to be appraised)	EXPECTED CONSEQUENCES ACCORDING TO THE S.E.A. OF THE OP GREECE-BULGARIA for the specific Priority Axis <sup>2</sup>	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES OF THE PROPOSED ACT	COMMENTS
Water	- to 0	0	
Air	- to 0	0	
Climatic factors	0	0	
Material assets	+	+	

**COMPATIBILITY CHECK SHEET OF THE PROJECT WITH THE STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF THE OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME**

OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME	INTERREG V-A Greece–Bulgaria 2014-2020 Cooperation Programme		
PRIORITY AXIS			
INVESTMENT PRIORITY			
CALL CODE			
FINAL BENEFICIARY			
PROJECT TITLE			
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES (The Directive 2001/42/EK determines the environmental issues for which the environmental consequences have to be appraised)</b>	EXPECTED CONSEQUENCES ACCORDING TO THE S.E.A. OF THE OP GREECE-BULGARIA for the specific Priority Axis <sup>2</sup>	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES OF THE PROPOSED ACT	COMMENTS
Conclusions			
Remarks			
Conditions that have to be met if the proposal is financed.			